GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING EXPLORATION WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

W.O. 8094-00 & 20 JANUARY 26, 2021

Prepared for

R.M. TOWILL CORPORATION

GEOLABS, INC. Geotechnical Engineering and Drilling Services

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING EXPLORATION WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

W.O. 8094-00 & 20 JANUARY 26, 2021

Prepared for

R.M. TOWILL CORPORATION

THIS WORK WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY SUPERVISION.

4-30-22
EXPIRATION DATE **SIGNATURE** OF THE LICENSE

GEOLABS, INC. Geotechnical Engineering and Drilling Services 94-429 Koaki Street, Suite 200 · Waipahu, HI 96797

Hawaii · California

January 26, 2021 W.O. 8094-00 & 20

Mr. Walter Chong, P.E. **R.M. Towill Corporation** 2024 North King Street, Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96819

Dear Mr. Chong:

Geolabs, Inc. is pleased to submit our report entitled "Geotechnical Engineering Exploration, Waiahole Water System Improvement, Kunia, Oahu, Hawaii," prepared in support of the design for the project.

Our work was performed in general accordance with the scope of services outlined in our fee proposal dated February 3, 2020.

Please note that the soil samples recovered during our field exploration (remaining after testing) will be stored for a period of two months from the date of this report. The samples will be discarded after that date unless arrangements are made for a longer sample storage period. Please contact our office for alternative sample storage requirements, if appropriate.

Detailed discussion and specific recommendations for the design of the project are contained in the body of this report. If there is any point that is not clear, please contact our office.

Very truly yours,

GEOLABS, INC.

Gerald Y. Seki, P.E. **Vice President**

GS:NK:If

94-429 Koaki Street, Suite 200 · Waipahu, Hawaii 96797 Telephone: (808) 841-5064 • E-mail: hawaii@geolabs.net

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING EXPLORATION

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

W.O. 8094-00 & 20 JANUARY 26, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

[PLATES](#page-34-0)

[APPENDIX A](#page-50-0)

[APPENDIX B](#page-77-0)

[APPENDIX C](#page-108-0)

Eurofins Environment Testing America Analytical Report (18 pages)

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING EXPLORATION WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII W.O. 8094-00 & 20 JANUARY 26, 2021

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our borings, the project site is generally underlain by about 2 to 10 feet of surface fill, consisting of medium stiff to hard silty clay and/or clayey silt. The surface fills are underlain by alluvium, residual soil, and saprolite extending to the maximum depth explored of about 36.5 feet below the existing ground surface. In general, the alluvium and residual soil consisted of medium stiff to hard silty/sandy clay and/or clayey silt. The saprolite generally consisted of medium dense silty sand, stiff to very stiff sandy silt and hard silty clay. It should be noted that an approximately 2 to 18-inch thick layer of base material, consisting of medium dense to dense silty gravel, was encountered in the borings drilled along Plantation Road.

We did not encounter groundwater in the borings at the time of our field exploration. However, it should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to change due to rainfall, time of year, seasonal precipitation, surface water runoff, groundwater seepage, perched groundwater, and other factors.

A new lined earthen reservoir about 23 feet high with slope inclination of 2.5H:1V and 4H:1V inside and outside of the reservoir, respectively, will be constructed at the project site. Prior to construction of the reservoir fill embankment, a keyway should be excavated at the toe of the reservoir embankment to provide stability for the embankment fill against sliding. The bottom of the keyway should extend at least two feet into stiff soil below the original grade at the toe of the slope and have a minimum width of 10 feet.

We understand that an impervious liner (i.e., geomembrane) will be installed within and along the sides of the reservoir to reduce water infiltration through the underlying natural material. In general, the material type, performance, installation, and protection details of the geomembrane liner should be designed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. We recommend that the geomembrane manufacturer be consulted regarding proper construction detailing and installation of the liner, with particular attention to proper anchoring of the liner at the top of the embankments. Technical representatives of the geomembrane manufacturer should be required to be on-site at all times during the installation process to assure that proper construction procedures and precautions are followed. We recommend that a geotextile underlining consisting of a heavy-duty geotextile fabric beneath the impervious liner be provided to reduce the potential for puncture of the impervious liner.

The finished subgrades of the reservoir side slopes should be proof-rolled with a smooth drum roller a minimum of 4 passes to provide a relatively smooth surface for placement of the geotextile underlining and impervious liner for the proposed reservoir. Cobbles exposed at the finished subgrades should be removed and replaced with compacted select borrow subbase material.

The text of this report should be referred to for detailed discussions and specific recommendations for the design of the project.

END OF SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 Introduction

This report presents the results of our geotechnical engineering exploration performed for the proposed Waiahole Water System Improvement project in Kunia on the Island of Oahu, Hawaii. The project location and general vicinity are shown on the Project Location Map, Plate 1.

This report summarizes the findings and geotechnical recommendations resulting from our field exploration, laboratory testing, and engineering analysis for the project. The recommendations presented herein are intended for the design of the new lined earthen reservoir, earthwork, retaining structures, access roads, manhole structures, and underground utilities only. The findings and recommendations presented herein are subject to the limitations noted at the end of this report.

1.2 Project Considerations

The project site is located west of Kunia Road and north of Plantation Road in the Kunia area on the Island of Oahu, Hawaii. The project consists of improving the existing irrigation system's efficiency and enhancing the water supply security of the Waiahole Ditch System. The project includes the following elements:

- **New Lined Earthen Reservoir:** The existing lined reservoir will be expanded to create a larger, lined earthen reservoir. Based on the information provided, the top-of-embankment and bottom-of-reservoir elevations are approximately +650 and +627 feet Mean Sea Level (MSL), respectively. The new reservoir will be lined with a high-density polyethylene or equivalent geomembrane liner. We understand that an unpaved aggregate or recycled asphalt pavement accessway will be installed along the top bank of the reservoir's perimeter to allow maintenance vehicles to be driven and parked.
- **Water Supply Well:** A new water supply well will be constructed south of the intersection of Kunia Road and Plantation Road. The new well will have a target production capacity of 2 million gallons per day. We

understand that geotechnical recommendations and a boring at the well site is not required.

- **Irrigation Line "A":** A new buried 12 to 30-inch diameter ductile iron pipeline, about 4,265 feet in length, will be installed from the existing ditch on the western end of the project limits to the new water supply well. The new line will be along Plantation Road and an existing dirt access road. The buried pipe needs to be designed to support the anticipated traffic loading above the pipe.
- **Irrigation Line "B":** A new buried 30-inch diameter ductile iron pipeline, about 2,250 feet in length, connecting Irrigation Line "A" to the new reservoir. Irrigation Line "B" also extends beyond the new reservoir to connect to the existing ditch on the eastern end of the project site. The new line will be installed both beneath and adjacent to existing dirt access roads. Therefore, the buried pipe needs to be designed to support the anticipated traffic loading above the pipe.
- **Irrigation Line "C":** A new buried 12-inch diameter ductile iron pipeline, about 1,060 feet in length, connecting Irrigation Line "A" to the new reservoir. The new line will be installed beneath an existing dirt access road. Therefore, the buried pipe needs to be designed to support the anticipated traffic loading above the pipe.
- **Irrigation Line "D":** A new buried 30-inch diameter ductile iron pipeline, about 200 feet in length, connecting the existing ditch on the northern side of the project site to Irrigation Line "B".
- **Additive Item: Closed Conduit at Hairpin Bend in System:** Replacement of two existing plastic pipes with concrete headwalls with a larger pipe at the hairpin bend. The new pipe will be about 600 linear feet.

Based on the grading plans provided, we anticipate that cuts up to about 22 feet deep and fills up to about 16 feet thick will be required to construct the new lined earthen reservoir. In addition, we understand that trench excavations up to about 11 feet below the existing ground surface will be required to install the new irrigation lines.

1.3 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of our geotechnical engineering exploration was to obtain an overview of the surface and subsurface conditions to develop a generalized soil/rock data set to formulate geotechnical engineering recommendations for the design of the Waiahole Water System Improvement project. The work was performed in general accordance with the scope of services outlined in our fee proposal dated February 3, 2020. The scope of work for this exploration included the following tasks and work efforts:

- 1. Perform site reconnaissance for the initial survey and condition assessment at the project site.
- 2. Review of available in-house soil and geologic information in the near vicinity of the project site.
- 3. Develop a Fieldwork Health and Safety Plan and a Simple Work Plan for our work on the project.
- 4. Mobilization and demobilization of trail clearing equipment to and from the project site.
- 5. Perform trail clearing with an excavator to provide access for our truck-mounted drill rig.
- 6. Boring stakeout and coordination of utility toning with the various utility companies and clearance of the proposed boring locations by our field engineer/geologist.
- 7. Mobilization/demobilization of a truck-mounted drill rig, water truck, and two operators to and from the project site.
- 8. Drilling and sampling of 18 boreholes to depths of about 11.5 to 36.5 feet below the existing ground surface for a total of about 323.4 lineal feet of exploration. The additive item consisted of drilling and sampling three boreholes to depths of about 9 to 11.5 feet below the existing ground surface for a total of about 32 lineal feet of exploration. Four bulk samples

were collected for moisture and density relationship and California Bearing Ratio (CBR) laboratory testing.

- 9. Laboratory testing of selected soil/rock samples obtained during the field exploration as an aid in classifying the materials and evaluating their engineering properties.
- 10. Engineering analyses of the field and laboratory data to formulate geotechnical engineering recommendations pertaining to the design of the proposed water system improvement project.
- 11. Preparation of this report summarizing our work on the project and presenting our findings and recommendations.
- 12. Coordination of our overall work on the project by our project engineer.
- 13. Quality assurance of our overall work and client/design team consultation by our principal engineer.
- 14. Miscellaneous work efforts such as drafting, word processing, and clerical support.

Detailed descriptions of our field exploration methodology and the Logs of Borings are presented in Appendix A. Results of the laboratory tests performed on selected soil samples are presented in Appendix B. The analytical corrosivity test report is presented in Appendix C.

END OF GENERAL

SECTION 2. SITE CHARACTERIZATION

2.1 Regional Geology

The Island of Oahu was built by the extrusion of basalt and basaltic lava from the Waianae and Koolau shield volcanoes. The older Waianae Volcano is estimated to be middle to late Pliocene in age, and the Koolau Volcano is estimated to be late Pliocene to early Pleistocene in age. As the volcanic activity in Waianae Volcano ceased, lava flows from Koolau Volcano banked against its eroded eastern slope forming a broad plateau, now known as the Schofield Plateau. The project site is located on the western flank of the Koolau Volcano.

In-situ weathering of the Koolau lavas on the Schofield Plateau generated a relatively thick mantle of residual soils generally consisting of reddish colored silty clays/clayey silts. These residual soils grade with depth to saprolite, i.e., soil that retains the relict structure of the parent rock, which eventually grades to basalt rock formation. In some portions of the residual soils and saprolite, remnant boulders, or "corestones" of weathering resistant rock are encountered.

Visual observations of road cuts in the area indicate that alluvial materials from the Waianae volcanic dome may form a thin mantle, about 1 to 3 feet thick, over portions of the Koolau residual soils. However, most of the site has been used for agricultural purposes for many years, and deep tilling may have resulted in mixing of this mantle with the underlying residual soils.

2.2 Existing Site Conditions

The project site is located west of Kunia Road and north of Plantation Road in the Kunia area on the Island of Oahu, Hawaii. The alignment of the proposed improvements generally begins near the access gate and agricultural buildings in the northeast corner of the project site. The alignment generally extends southwest towards the existing lined reservoir, southeast towards Plantation Road, and southwest along Plantation Road for approximately 3,300 feet. The additive item is located at the existing "hairpin bend" in the current system, located in a shallow valley near the western limit of the project site. The approximate project location is presented on the Project Location Map, Plate 1. The general project limits and new water system alignment is presented on the Overall Site Plan, Plate 2.

In general, the proposed water system alignment traverses existing agricultural fields and runs along/below unpaved access roads. The existing lined reservoir is relatively small (approximately 500 square feet in plan dimension) and consists of a vegetated embankment with a top bench wide enough for vehicular traffic. Based on the topographic survey information provided, the existing ground surface elevation ranges from about +650 feet Mean Sea Level (MSL) near the northeastern access gate to about +550 feet MSL along Plantation Road near the center of the project limits. No elevation information was available for the hairpin bend area at the time this report was prepared.

2.3 Subsurface Conditions

We explored the subsurface conditions at the project site by drilling and sampling 21 borings, designated as Boring Nos. 1 through 21, extending to depths of 9 to 36.5 feet below the existing ground surface. In addition, four bulk samples of the near-surface soils, designated as Bulk-1 through Bulk-4, were collected for laboratory moisture/density relationships and CBR tests to evaluate the pavement support characteristics of the near-surface soils. The approximate boring and bulk sample locations are shown on the Overall Site Plan, Plate 2, and Site Plans, Plates 3.1 through 3.13.

Based on our borings, the project site is generally underlain by about 2 to 10 feet of surface fill, consisting of medium stiff to hard silty clay and/or clayey silt. The surface fills are underlain by alluvium, residual soil, and saprolite extending to the maximum depth explored of about 36.5 feet below the existing ground surface. In general, the alluvium and residual soil consisted of medium stiff to hard silty/sandy clay and/or clayey silt. The saprolite generally consisted of medium dense silty sand, stiff to very stiff sandy silt and hard silty clay. It should be noted that an approximately 2 to 18-inch thick layer of base material, consisting of medium dense to dense silty gravel, was encountered in the borings drilled along Plantation Road.

We did not encounter groundwater in the borings at the time of our field exploration. However, it should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to change due to rainfall, time of year, seasonal precipitation, surface water runoff, groundwater seepage, perched groundwater, and other factors.

Detailed descriptions of our field exploration methodology and the Logs of Borings are presented in Appendix A. Descriptions and graphic representation of the material encountered in the borings are provided on the Logs of Borings in Appendix A. Results of the laboratory tests performed on selected soil samples are presented in Appendix B.

2.4 Seismic Design Parameters

Based on the International Building Code (2012 Edition), the project site may be subject to seismic activity and seismic design considerations will need to be addressed. The following subsections provide discussions on the seismicity, soil profile type for seismic design, and the potential for liquefaction at the project site.

2.4.1 Earthquakes and Seismicity

In general, earthquakes that occur throughout the world are caused solely by shifts in the tectonic plates. In contrast, earthquake activity in Hawaii is linked primarily to volcanic activity. Therefore, earthquake activity in Hawaii generally occurs before or during volcanic eruptions. In addition, earthquakes may result from the underground movement of magma that comes close to the surface but does not erupt. The Island of Hawaii experiences thousands of earthquakes each year, but most of the earthquakes are so small that they can only be detected by sensitive instruments. However, some of the earthquakes are strong enough to be felt, and a few cause minor to moderate damage.

In general, earthquakes (associated with volcanic activity) are most common on the Island of Hawaii. Earthquakes that are directly associated with the movement of magma are concentrated beneath the active Kilauea and Mauna Loa Volcanoes on the Island of Hawaii. Because the majority of the earthquakes in Hawaii (over 90 percent of earthquakes) are related to volcanic activity, the risk of high seismic activity and degree of ground shaking diminishes with increased distance from the Island of Hawaii. The Island of Hawaii has experienced numerous earthquakes greater than Magnitude 5 (M5+); however, earthquakes are not confined only to the Island of Hawaii.

To a lesser degree, the Island of Maui has experienced numerous earthquakes greater than Magnitude 5. Therefore, moderate to strong earthquakes have occurred in the County of Maui. The effects of earthquakes occurring on the Islands of Hawaii and Maui may be felt on the Island of Oahu. For example, several small landslides occurred on the Island of Oahu as a result of the Maui Earthquake of 1938 (M6.8). In addition, some houses on the Island of Oahu were reportedly damaged as a result of the Lanai Earthquake of 1871 (M7+).

Due to the relatively short period of documented earthquake monitoring in the State of Hawaii, information pertaining to earthquakes that were felt on the Island of Oahu may not be complete. In general, over the last 150 years of recorded history, we are not aware of reported earthquakes greater than Magnitude 6 occurring on the Island of Oahu. Based on available information, we understand that an earthquake of about Magnitude 5.6 occurred on June 28, 1948 in the vicinity of the Island of Oahu, possibly along the hypothesized and controversial Diamond Head Fault feature.

The Diamond Head Fault feature is believed to extend northeasterly away from the southeastern tip of the Island of Oahu. The Diamond Head Fault feature may be related to the widely documented Molokai Fracture Zone located on the sea floor in the vicinity of the Hawaiian Islands. Despite only the moderate tremor intensity, the resulting damage was reportedly widespread and included broken windows, ruptured masonry building walls, and a broken underground water main. In addition, some areas on the Island of Oahu, including the Tantalus, Iwilei, and Tripler areas, reported more intense ground shaking, severe enough to have cracked reinforced concrete.

2.4.2 Liquefaction Potential

Based on the International Building Code (2012 Edition), the project site may be subjected to seismic activity, and the potential for soil liquefaction at the project site will need to be evaluated.

Soil liquefaction is a condition where saturated cohesionless soils located near the ground surface undergo a substantial loss of strength due to the build-up of excess pore water pressures resulting from cyclic stress applications induced by earthquakes. In this process, when the loose saturated sand deposit is subjected to vibration (such as during an earthquake), the soil tends to densify and decrease in volume causing an increase in pore water pressure. If drainage is unable to occur rapidly enough to dissipate the build-up of pore water pressure, the effective stress (internal strength) of the soil is reduced. Under sustained vibrations, the pore water pressure build-up could equal the overburden pressure, essentially reducing the soil shear strength to zero and causing it to behave as a viscous fluid. During liquefaction, the soil acquires sufficient mobility to permit both horizontal and vertical movements, and if not confined, will result in significant deformations.

Soils most susceptible to liquefaction are loose, uniformly graded, fine-grained sands and loose silts with little cohesion. The major factors affecting the liquefaction characteristics of a soil deposit are as follows:

In general, the subsurface information obtained from the drilled borings indicate that the project site is underlain by relatively stiff/dense fill, alluvium, residual soil, and

saprolite. Based on the subsurface conditions encountered in our field exploration, the geology in the area, and our engineering analyses, the potential for soil liquefaction at the project site is non-existent due to the presence of relatively stiff/dense fill, alluvium, residual soil, and saprolite in the absence of groundwater within the depths of our drilled borings. Therefore, the potential for liquefaction is not a design consideration at this project site.

2.4.3 Soil Profile Type for Seismic Design

Based on the subsurface materials encountered and the geologic setting of the area, we believe that the project site may be classified from a seismic analysis standpoint as being a "Stiff Soil Profile" site corresponding to a Site Class "D" soil profile based on the ASCE Standard ASCE/SEI 7-10 (Table No. 20.3-1), referenced by the International Building Code, 2012 Edition. Based on Site Class D, the following seismic design parameters were estimated and may be used for seismic analysis of the project.

END OF SITE CHARACTERIZATION

SECTION 3. DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our field exploration, the project site is generally underlain by about 2 to 10 feet of surface fills underlain by alluvium, residual soil, and saprolite extending to the maximum depth explored of about 36.5 feet below the existing ground surface. We did not encounter groundwater in the borings at the time of our field exploration.

Prior to construction of the reservoir fill embankment, a keyway should be excavated at the toe of the reservoir embankment to provide stability for the embankment fill against sliding. The bottom of the keyway should extend at least two feet into stiff soil below the original grade at the toe of the slope and have a minimum width of 10 feet.

We understand that an impervious liner (i.e., geomembrane) will be installed within and along the sides of the reservoir to reduce water infiltration through the underlying natural material. In general, the material type, performance, installation, and protection details of the geomembrane liner should be designed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. We recommend that the geomembrane manufacturer be consulted regarding proper construction detailing and installation of the liner, with particular attention to proper anchoring of the liner at the top of the embankments. Technical representatives of the geomembrane manufacturer should be required to be on-site at all times during the installation process to assure that proper construction procedures and precautions are followed.

Detailed discussion of these items and our geotechnical engineering recommendations for design are presented in the following sections.

3.1 New Lined Earthen Reservoir

Based on the information provided, we understand the existing lined reservoir will be expanded to create a larger, lined earthen reservoir. In general, the earthen embankments will be constructed with an inside reservoir slope inclination of two and a half horizontal to one vertical (2.5H:1V) and an outside reservoir slope inclination of 4H:1V. In addition, a concrete spillway with grouted rip-rap slope protection is planned on the southwestern side of the new reservoir.

Based on the grading plan provided, we understand that excavations on the order of about 22 feet below the existing ground surface will be required to construct the proposed reservoir. Based on the subsurface conditions encountered during our field exploration program, we envision that the reservoir excavation will encounter medium stiff to hard fill, alluvium, and residual soil. It should be noted that saprolite was encountered below the planned bottom-of-reservoir excavation. However, saprolite may be encountered in localized areas of the excavation at shallower depths. Large basaltic boulders (aka core stones) may be encountered in the residual soil and/or saprolite that may require the use of hoerams and/or chipping to remove.

Prior to construction of the reservoir fill embankment, a keyway should be excavated at the toe of the reservoir embankment to provide stability for the embankment fill against sliding. The bottom of the keyway should extend at least two feet into stiff soil below the original grade at the toe of the slope and have a minimum width of 10 feet.

We understand that an impervious liner (i.e., geomembrane) will be installed within and along the sides of the reservoir to reduce water infiltration through the underlying natural material. In general, the material type, performance, installation, and protection details of the geomembrane liner should be designed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. It is critical that the liner maintains its integrity to prevent saturation and build-up of seepage water pressures within the excavation. As an added drainage measure, consideration should be given to sloping the bottom of the reservoir to a low point where a subdrain could be installed. The subdrain would aid in relieving seepage water below the impervious liner system. The subdrain should discharge to an appropriate outlet.

We recommend that the geomembrane manufacturer be consulted regarding proper construction detailing and installation of the liner, with particular attention to proper anchoring of the liner at the top of the embankments. In addition, the geomembrane liner should be textured on both sides to allow for safer installation for the laborers, and to increase the friction between the geomembrane and the embankment side slopes.

Technical representatives of the geomembrane manufacturer should be required to be on-site at all times during the installation process to assure that proper construction procedures and precautions are followed.

We recommend that a geotextile underlining consisting of a heavy-duty geotextile fabric beneath the impervious liner be provided to reduce the potential for puncture of the impervious liner. The use of geotextile fabric is recommended in-lieu of a sand cushion due to the ease of installation and the increased puncture resistance of the impervious liner when combined with a layer of geotextile underlining. In addition, a sand cushion is not recommended because of the steep side slopes of 2.5H:1V planned for the proposed reservoir.

The edges of the concrete spillway, grouted rip-rap slope protection and grouted rip-rap apron should be keyed into the reservoir embankment and/or existing ground surface (minimum 4 feet deep by 2 feet wide) to reduce the potential for erosion and undermining of these structures.

Subsequent to construction and filling of the reservoir, periodic inspections of the reservoir should be performed to evaluate the condition of the reservoir. Inspections should also be conducted following any major problems or unusual event, such as an earthquake, heavy flood, or vandalism.

3.2 Site Grading

Based on the grading plan provided, we anticipate that cuts up to about 22 feet deep and fills up to about 16 feet thick will be required to construct the proposed reservoir. In addition, trench excavations up to about 11 feet below the existing ground surface will be required to install the new irrigation lines. The following site grading items are addressed in the following subsections:

- 1. Site Preparation
2. Fills and Backfills
- 2. Fills and Backfills
- 3. Fill Placement and Compaction Requirements
- 4. Excavations
- 5. Cut and Fill Slopes

Site grading operations should be observed by a Geolabs representative. It is important that a Geolabs representative be present to observe the site preparation operations to evaluate whether undesirable materials are encountered during the excavation and scarification process, and whether the exposed soil and/or rock conditions are similar to those encountered in our field exploration.

3.2.1 Site Preparation

At the on-set of earthwork, areas within the contract grading limits should be thoroughly cleared and grubbed. Vegetation, debris, deleterious materials, and other unsuitable materials should be removed and disposed of properly off-site to reduce the potential for contaminating the excavated materials.

Soft and yielding areas encountered during clearing and grubbing below areas designated to receive fill and/or future improvements should be over-excavated to expose firm natural material, and the resulting excavation should be backfilled with well-compacted fill. The excavated soft soils should not be reused as fill materials and should be properly disposed of off-site or in landscape areas (if appropriate).

In general, the over-excavated subgrades and areas designated to receive fills should be scarified to a depth of about 8 inches, moisture-conditioned to at least 2 percent above the optimum moisture content, and recompacted to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction.

Where shrinkage cracks are observed after the subgrade compaction, we recommend preparing the subgrade soil again as recommended above. Saturation and subsequent yielding of the exposed subgrade due to inclement weather and poor drainage may require over-excavating the soft areas and replacing these areas with engineered fill.

The finished subgrades of the reservoir side slopes should be proof-rolled with a smooth drum roller a minimum of 4 passes to provide a relatively smooth surface for placement of the geotextile underlining and impervious liner for the proposed reservoir. Cobbles exposed at the finished subgrades should be removed and replaced with compacted select borrow subbase material.

3.2.2 Fills and Backfills

Based on the preliminary drawings, the excavation quantity is greater than the fill quantity. In general, the on-site fill, alluvium, residual soils, and saprolite encountered during our field exploration should be suitable for use as general fill materials, provided that the maximum particle size is less than 3 inches in largest dimension. The on-site materials generated from the excavations may be used as a source of general fill or backfill materials provided that they are screened/processed of the over-sized materials to meet the above gradation requirements (less than 3 inches in largest dimension) and deleterious material such as vegetation is removed.

Imported materials should consist of non-expansive select granular material, such as crushed coral or basalt. The select granular fill should be well-graded from coarse to fine with no particles larger than 3 inches in largest dimension. The material should have a CBR value of 20 or higher, and a swell potential of 1 percent or less when tested in accordance with ASTM D1883. The material should also contain between 10 and 30 percent particles passing the No. 200 sieve. Imported fill materials should be tested for conformance with these recommendations prior to delivery to the project site for the intended use.

3.2.3 Fill Placement and Compaction Requirements

In general, fill materials should be placed in level lifts not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness, moisture-conditioned to at least 2 percent above the optimum moisture content and compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction. Relative compaction refers to the in-place dry density of soil expressed as a percentage of the maximum dry density of the same soil established in accordance with ASTM D1557. Optimum moisture is the water content (percentage by weight) corresponding to the maximum dry density.

The compaction requirement for the upper 3 feet of fill below areas subjected to vehicular traffic should be increased to at least 95 percent relative compaction. Compaction should be accomplished by sheepsfoot rollers, vibratory rollers, or other types of acceptable compaction equipment. Water tamping, jetting, or ponding should not be allowed to compact the fills.

Where compaction is less than required, additional compactive effort should be applied with adjustment of moisture content as necessary to obtain the specified compaction.

3.2.4 Excavations

It is anticipated that the on-site silty/clayey fill, alluvium, residual soil, and saprolite encountered in our borings may be excavated with normal heavy excavation equipment. However, there is a potential for encountering harder, less weathered zones of volcanic rock at unpredictable depths within these soils. The contractor for the project should be cautioned that these hard, volcanic rock zones could be encountered in the excavations and may require chipping and/or the use of hoerams to excavate the materials.

The above discussions regarding the rippability of the surface materials are based on the anticipated subsurface at the project site and our experience in the project vicinity. Contractors bidding on this project should be encouraged to review and understand the geologic environment of the project site and to examine the site conditions and soil data to make their own interpretation.

3.2.5 Cut and Fill Slopes

We understand that the new reservoir slopes will be 2.5H:1V and 4H:1V inclination for the inside and outside slopes, respectively. The filling operation should start at the lowest point and continue up in level horizontal compacted layers in accordance with the above fill placement recommendations. Fill slopes should be constructed by overfilling and cutting back to the design slope ratio to obtain a well-compacted slope face. Water should be diverted away from the tops of slopes, and slope

planting should be provided as soon as possible to reduce the potential for erosion of the finished slopes.

3.3 Retaining Structures

We understand that a retaining wall is required at the northeastern side of the new reservoir embankment, and concrete headwalls are required around the reservoir spillway and near the hairpin turn located at the western end of the project site. In addition, we understand that the backfill behind the embankment retaining wall will have a maximum slope inclination of 4H:1V. Based on the subsurface conditions encountered, the following general guidelines may be used for design of the retaining structures at the project site.

3.3.1 Retaining Wall Foundations

Based on the subsurface conditions anticipated at the project site, we recommend using shallow continuous strip footings bearing on the recompacted in-situ soils to support the planned retaining walls. An allowable bearing pressure of up to 3,000 pounds per square foot (psf) may be used to design shallow wall foundations bearing on the recompacted in-situ soils. This bearing value is for dead-plus-live loads and may be increased by one-third (⅓) for transient loads, such as those caused by wind or seismic forces.

Retaining structure foundations should be at least 18 inches wide and the bottom should be embedded a minimum of 24 inches below the lowest adjacent finished grades. For sloping ground conditions, the footing should extend deeper to obtain a minimum 6-foot setback distance measured horizontally from the outside edge of the footing (base of footing) to the face of the slope. Wall footings oriented parallel to the direction of the slope should be constructed in stepped footings.

Foundations next to other retaining walls, other foundations, utility trenches, or easements should be embedded below a 45-degree imaginary plane extending upward from the bottom edge of the structure or utility trench. Alternatively, footings should be extended to a depth as deep as the inverts of the utility lines or bottom of the retaining walls. This requirement is necessary to avoid

surcharging adjacent below-grade structures with additional structural loads and to reduce the potential for appreciable foundation settlement.

If the foundations are designed and constructed in accordance with our recommendations, we estimate that total footing settlements may be on the order of 1 inch or less. We estimate that the differential settlements between adjacent foundations to be on the order of about 0.5 inches.

3.3.2 Static Lateral Earth Pressures

Retaining structures should be designed to resist lateral earth pressures due to the adjacent soils and surcharge effects caused by loads adjacent to the walls. The recommended lateral earth pressures for the design of the retaining structures, expressed in equivalent fluid pressures of pounds per square foot per foot of depth (pcf), are presented in the following table:

The values provided above assume that the on-site soil will be used to backfill behind the retaining structures. The backfill behind retaining structures should be compacted to between 90 and 95 percent relative compaction per ASTM D1557. Over-compaction of the retaining structure backfill should be avoided.

In general, an active condition may be used for gravity retaining walls or walls that are free to deflect by as much as 0.5 percent of the wall height. If the tops of walls are not free to deflect beyond this degree or are restrained, the walls should be designed for the at-rest condition. These lateral earth pressures do not include hydrostatic pressures that might be caused by groundwater trapped behind the walls.

Surcharge stresses due to areal surcharges, line loads, and point loads within a horizontal distance equal to the depth of the wall should be considered in the design. For uniform surcharge stresses imposed on the loaded side of the wall, a rectangular distribution with a uniform pressure equal to 36 percent of the vertical surcharge pressure acting over the entire height of the wall, which is free to deflect (cantilever), may be used in the design. For walls that are restrained, a rectangular distribution equal to 53 percent of the vertical surcharge pressure acting over the entire height of the wall may be used for design. Additional analyses during design may be needed to evaluate the surcharge effects of point loads and line loads.

3.3.3 Dynamic Lateral Earth Pressures

Dynamic lateral earth forces due to seismic loading $(a = 0.329g)$ may be estimated by using $8.2H²$ pounds per linear foot of wall length for level backfill conditions, where H is the height of the wall in feet. It should be noted that the dynamic lateral earth forces provided assume that the wall will be allowed to move laterally by up to about 1 inch in the event of an earthquake. For a sloping backfill condition with a maximum slope inclination of 4H:1V, the dynamic lateral earth forces due to seismic loading may be estimated by using $15.7H²$ pounds per linear foot of wall length. The resultant force should be assumed to act through the mid-height of the wall. The dynamic lateral earth forces are in addition to the static lateral earth pressures provided above. An appropriately reduced factor of safety may be used when dynamic lateral earth forces are accounted for in the design of the retaining structures.

3.3.4 Drainage

The retaining walls should be well-drained to reduce the potential for build-up of hydrostatic pressures. A typical drainage system would consist of a 12-inch wide zone of permeable material, such as No. 3 Fine gravel (ASTM C33, No. 67 gradation), placed directly around a perforated pipe (perforations facing down) at

the base of the wall discharging to an appropriate outlet or weepholes. As an alternative, a prefabricated drainage product, such as MiraDrain or EnkaDrain, may be used instead of the drainage material. The prefabricated drainage product also should be hydraulically connected to a perforated pipe at the base of the wall.

The backfill from the bottom of the wall to the bottom of the perforated pipe or weephole should consist of relatively impervious materials to reduce the potential for significant water infiltration into the subsurface. In addition, the upper 12 inches of the retaining structure backfill should consist of relatively impervious materials to reduce the potential for significant water infiltration behind the retaining structure unless covered by concrete slabs at the surface.

3.4 Access Road Design

We understand that an unpaved aggregate base course (BC) or recycled asphalt pavement (RAP) access road will be installed along the top bank of the reservoir's perimeter to allow maintenance vehicles to be driven and parked. For pavement design purposes, we have assumed the vehicle loading for the roadway would be relatively light, consisting of occasional passenger vehicles and maintenance trucks.

Based on the results of our CBR testing of the near-surface soils at the project site, a design CBR value of 15 was used to represent the compacted embankment fill material. Based on the above, the following preliminary pavement sections for the unpaved roadway on the reservoir embankment may be considered:

Reservoir Embankment Unpaved Roadway

12.0-Inch Aggregate BC or RAP (95 Percent Relative Compaction)

12.0-Inch Total Pavement Thickness over Filter Fabric (Mirafi 180N or equal) on Moist Compacted Subgrade

8.0-Inch Aggregate BC (95 Percent Relative Compaction) 8.0-Inch Total Pavement Thickness on Reinforcing Geogrid (Tensar TriAx Geogrid TX7 or equal) over Filter Fabric (Mirafi 180N or equal) on Moist Compacted Subgrade

It should be noted that there is a potential for raveling and rutting of the aggregate base course or recycled asphalt pavement layer over time. Therefore, periodic maintenance will be required for the unpaved accessway. We believe most of the maintenance will consist of periodic grading to remove ruts and depressions caused by the environment and traffic and recompacting the loose aggregate base course or RAP materials.

The gravel road should be sloped to provide adequate drainage of surface water off the gravel road.

The subgrade soils under the new pavement section should be scarified to a minimum depth of 8 inches, moisture-conditioned to at least 2 percent above the optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent relative compaction. Aggregate base course and RAP materials should be moisture-conditioned to above the optimum moisture content, placed in level lifts not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness, and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent relative compaction.

Aggregate base course should meet the material requirements for Base Course as specified in Section 31 of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, City & County of Honolulu, September 1986. Geolabs should test imported fill materials for conformance with these recommendations prior to delivery to the project site for the intended use.

A Geolabs representative should monitor the pavement subgrade preparation to observe whether undesirable materials are encountered during the excavation and scarification process and to confirm whether the exposed soil conditions are similar to those encountered during our field exploration. California Bearing Ratio (CBR) tests and/or field observations should be performed on the actual subgrade soils during construction to confirm that the above design section is adequate.

3.5 Manhole Structures

Based on the information provided, manhole structures will be constructed for the new irrigation lines. Based on the borings, we anticipate that the new manhole structures will bear on relatively stiff/dense fill, alluvium, residual soil, and/or saprolite. An allowable bearing pressure of up to 3,000 pounds per square foot (psf) may be used for the design of the manhole structures bearing on the relatively stiff/dense soils.

A minimum 6-inch gravel cushion layer should be provided between the bottom of the manhole structure and the underlying foundation soils to provide more uniform bearing support. The gravel cushion layer should consist of No. 3B Fine gravel (ASTM C33 No. 67 size).

The lateral earth pressures acting on the proposed underground manhole structure will depend on the type of backfill used, the extent of backfill, and the compactive effort on the backfill material around the structure. We recommend designing the new manhole structures to resist the lateral earth pressures (at-rest conditions) from the adjacent soils provided in the "Retaining Structures" section herein.

3.6 Underground Utility Lines

We understand that new underground irrigation lines will be installed for the water system improvement project. The methods and equipment to be used for utility trench excavations should be determined by the contractor, subject to practical limits and safety considerations. The excavations should comply with all applicable local, state, and federal safety requirements. Trench shoring design and installation should be the responsibility of the contractor. Trench shoring and bracing should conform to the appropriate health and safety requirements.

In general, for support of the utility lines, we recommend that granular bedding consisting of 6 inches of No. 3B Fine gravel (ASTM C 33, No. 67 gradation) be used under the pipes. The initial backfill up to about 1 foot above the pipes should consist of free-draining backfills, such as No. 3B Fine gravel, to reduce the potential for damaging the pipes from compaction of the backfill. It is critical that a free-draining granular material be used to reduce the potential for the formation of voids below the haunches of pipes and to provide adequate support for the sides of the pipes. The use of on-site soils as backfill immediately around utility pipes is not recommended.

The upper portion of the trench backfill from the level 1 foot above the pipes to the finished subgrade should consist of the on-site soils. The backfill material should be moisture-conditioned to at least 2 percent above the optimum moisture content, placed in level lifts not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness, and compacted to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction to reduce the potential for future ground subsidence. The upper 3 feet of the trench backfill below the pavement subgrade should be compacted to no less than 95 percent relative compaction. Mechanical compaction equipment should be used to compact the materials at the project site. Water tamping, jetting, or ponding should not be used to compact the backfill material.

3.7 Corrosion Potential

Four sets of laboratory corrosion tests, including pH, minimum resistivity, chloride content, and sulfate content, were performed on selected samples obtained during our field exploration to evaluate the corrosivity of the near-surface soils at the project site. The test results are summarized and presented in Appendix B. Detailed results of the Chloride Content (EPA 300.0) and Sulfate Content (EPA 300.0) tests performed by Eurofins TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. are presented in Appendix C.

Design of metallic substructures, such as metallic piping, should consider the effects of the corrosive environment on the substructure. Resistivity is generally recognized as one of the most significant soil characteristics regarding the corrosivity of the soil to buried metallic objects. In general, the lower the resistivity, the greater the potential for corrosion of the buried metallic structure. Conversely, the higher the resistivity, the less likely the soil will contribute to the corrosion of metallic objects. Results of the resistivity testing indicate that the on-site soils have resistivity values ranging from 1,900 to 3,000 ohm-cm with pH values varying from 7.58 to 8.2. Therefore, the on-site near-surface soils may be considered very corrosive based on the Board of Water Supply, City and County of Honolulu Water System External Corrosion Control Standards dated 1991.

In addition, chloride content and sulfate content were performed by Eurofins TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. to evaluate the corrosivity of the on-site soils encountered. Based on the chloride and sulfate content tests performed on the on-site soils, the test values are generally relatively low. It may be appropriate to consult with a professional corrosion engineer to review the test results and provide detailed recommendations for corrosion protection.

3.8 Design Review

Preliminary and final drawings and specifications for the proposed Waiahole Water System Improvement project should be forwarded to Geolabs for review and written comments prior to construction. This review is necessary to evaluate the conformance of the plans and specifications with the intent of the recommendations provided herein. If this review is not made, Geolabs cannot be responsible for misinterpretation of our recommendations.

3.9 Post-Design Services/Services During Construction

Geolabs should be retained to provide geotechnical engineering services during construction. The critical items of construction monitoring that require "Special Inspection" include the following:

- Observation of reservoir fill embankment keyway excavation
- Observation of reservoir fill embankment placement and compaction
- Observation of concrete spillway, grouted rip-rap slope protection, and grouted rip-rap apron installation
- Observation of subgrade soil preparation
- Observation of fill placement and compaction
- Observation of the trench excavation, placement of bedding materials, and trench backfill
- Observation of access road construction

A Geolabs representative should monitor the construction to observe compliance with the intent of the design concepts, specifications, or recommendations and to expedite suggestions for design changes that may be required in the event that subsurface conditions differ from those anticipated at the time this report was prepared. The recommendations provided herein are contingent upon such observations.

END OF DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SECTION 4. LIMITATIONS

The analyses and recommendations submitted herein are based, in part, upon information obtained from the field borings and bulk samples. Variations of the subsurface conditions between and beyond the field borings and bulk samples may occur, and the nature and extent of these variations may not become evident until construction is underway. If the variations then appear evident, it will be necessary to re-evaluate the recommendations presented herein.

The test boring and bulk sample locations indicated herein are approximate, having been estimated using a handheld GPS device. Elevations of the borings were estimated from the profiles presented on the Site Plans created by R.M. Towill Corporation dated April 2020. The boring locations and elevations should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the methods used.

The stratification breaks represented on the Logs of Borings depict the approximate boundaries between soil types and, as such, may denote a gradual transition. Water level data from the borings were measured at the times shown on the graphic representations and/or presented in the text of this report. These data have been reviewed and interpretations made in the formulation of this report. However, it should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to change due to rainfall, time of year, seasonal precipitation, surface water runoff, groundwater seepage, perched groundwater, and other factors.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of R.M. Towill Corporation for specific application to the proposed *Waiahole Water System Improvement* project in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. No warranty is expressed or implied.

This report has been prepared solely for the purpose of assisting the engineer in the design of the proposed water system improvement project. Therefore, this report may not contain sufficient data, or the proper information, to serve as the basis for preparation of construction cost estimates. A contractor wishing to bid on this project is urged to retain a competent geotechnical engineer to assist in the interpretation of this report and/or in the performance of additional site-specific exploration for bid estimating purposes.

The owner/client should be aware that unanticipated soil/rock conditions and/or obstructions are commonly encountered. Unforeseen subsurface conditions, such as soft deposits, hard layers, cavities, or perched groundwater may occur in localized areas and may require additional probing or corrections in the field (which may result in construction delays) to attain a properly constructed project. Therefore, a sufficient contingency fund is recommended to accommodate these possible extra costs.

This geotechnical engineering exploration conducted at the project site was not intended to investigate the potential presence of hazardous materials existing at the project site. It should be noted that the equipment, techniques, and personnel used to conduct a geo-environmental exploration differ substantially from those applied in geotechnical engineering.

END OF LIMITATIONS

CLOSURE

The following plates and appendices are attached and complete this report:

$-\Omega\Omega\Omega\Omega\Omega\Omega\Omega$

Respectfully submitted,

GEOLABS, INC.

By

Gerald Y. Seki, P.E. **Vice President**

GS:NK:lf

h:\8000Series\8094-00.nk1

PLATES

Last Updated: June 22, 2020 5:45:28pm Plot Date: December 30, 2020 - 6:57:25pm
ing)8094-00820_Waiahole_Water_System_Improvements\8094-00820PLM.dwg\1
--GEO.pc3_Plotstyle: GEO-No-Dither-RBGC-HEAVY.ctb Morking\80
PDF-GEO $\overline{0}$ PDF $\frac{1}{10}$ KIM Drafting
DWG 1 User: GAD

GRAPHIC SCALE

CAD User: KIM File Last Updated: January 20, 2021 6:52:09pm Plot Date: January 22, 2021 - 9:58:41pm
File: T:\Drafting\Working\8094-00&20_Walahole_Water_System_Improvements\8094-00&20SitePlans.dwg\2
Plotter: DWG To PDF-GEO. CAD User: KIM File Last Updated: January 20, 2021 6:52:09pm Plot Date: January 22, 2021 - 9:58:41pm
File: T:\Drafting\Working\8094-00&20_Walahole_Water_System_Improvements\8094-00&2OSitePlans.dwg
Potter: DWG To PDF-GEO.pc

REFERENCE: KEY PLAN CREATED BY R.M. TOWILL DATED APRIL 2020.

PLAN: IRRIGATION LINE "A"

APPROXIMATE BORING LOCATION

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

SITE PLAN - 1

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII SITE PLAN - 2

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII SITE PLAN - 3

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

SITE PLAN - 4

PLAN: IRRIGATION LINE "A"

APPROXIMATE BORING LOCATION

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

SITE PLAN - 5

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII SITE PLAN - 7

REFERENCE: PLAN: IRRIGATION LINE "B" & "C" CREATED BY R.M. TOWILL DATED APRIL 2020. DATED APRIL 2020. 8094-00&20

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII SITE PLAN - 8

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII SITE PLAN - 11

LEGEND:

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII SITE PLAN - 12

CAD User: KIM File Last Updated: January 04, 2021 8:37:20pm Plot Date: January 04, 2021 - 9:03:24pm
File: T:\Drafting\Working\80e4:20 N220 Walahole_Water_System_Improvements\8094-00820StePlans.dwg\3.12
File: T:\Drafting\Wo File: T:\Drafting\Working\8094-00&20_Walahole_Water_System_Improvements\8094-00&20SitePlans.dwg\3.12
Potter: DWG To PDF-GEO.pc3 Plotstyle: GEO-No-Dither-RBGC-HEAVY.ctb
Plotter: DWG To PDF-GEO.pc3 Plotstyle: GEO-No-Dither-R CAD User: KIM File Last Updated: January 04, 2021 8:37:20pm Plot Date: January 04, 2021 - 9:03:24pm
File: T:\Drafting\Working\8094-00&20_Walahole_Water_System_Improvements\8094-00&2OSitePlans.dwg
D TO TO PDF-GEO.pc3 Plots

APPENDIX A

A P P E N D I X A

Field Exploration

We explored the subsurface conditions at the project site by drilling and sampling twenty-one borings, designated as Boring Nos. 1 through 21, extending to depths of about 9 to 36.5 feet below the existing ground surface. In addition, four bulk samples of the near-surface soils, designated as Bulk-1 through Bulk-4, were obtained to evaluate the pavement support characteristics of the near-surface soils. The approximate boring and bulk sample locations are shown on the Overall Site Plan, Plate 2, and the Site Plans, Plates 3.1 through 3.13. The borings were drilled using a truck-mounted drill rig with continuous flight augers and coring tools.

Our geologists classified the materials encountered in the borings by visual and textural examination in the field in general accordance with ASTM D2488, Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils, and monitored the drilling operations on a near-continuous (full-time) basis. These classifications were further reviewed visually and by testing in the laboratory. Soils were classified in general accordance with ASTM D2487, Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System), as shown on the Soil Log Legend, Plate A-0.1. Deviations made to the soil classification in accordance with ASTM D2487 are described on the Soil Classification Log Key, Plate A-0.2. Graphic representations of the materials encountered are presented on the Logs of Borings, Plates A-1 through A-21.2.

Relatively "undisturbed" soil samples were obtained in general accordance with ASTM D3550, Ring-Lined Barrel Sampling of Soils, by driving a 3-inch OD Modified California sampler with a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. In addition, some samples were obtained from the drilled borings in general accordance with ASTM D1586, Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils, by driving a 2-inch OD standard penetration sampler using the same hammer and drop. The blow counts needed to drive the sampler the second and third 6 inches of an 18-inch drive are shown as the "Penetration Resistance" on the Logs of Borings at the appropriate sample depths. The penetration resistance shown on the Logs of Borings indicates the number of blows required for the specific sampler type used. The blow counts may need to be factored to obtain the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) blow counts.

Pocket penetrometer tests were performed on selected cohesive soil samples retrieved in the field. The pocket penetrometer test provides an indication of the unconfined compressive strength of the sample. Pocket penetrometer test results are summarized on the Logs of Borings at the appropriate sample depths.

Geotechnical Engineering

Soil Log Legend

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS LEGEND

(2-INCH) O.D. STANDARD PENETRATION TEST

(3-INCH) O.D. MODIFIED CALIFORNIA SAMPLE

SHELBY TUBE SAMPLE

GRAB SAMPLE

CORE SAMPLE

- $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ WATER LEVEL OBSERVED IN BORING AT TIME OF DRILLING
- ¥ WATER LEVEL OBSERVED IN BORING AFTER DRILLING
- $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$ WATER LEVEL OBSERVED IN BORING OVERNIGHT
- UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSION OR UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH
- TXUU UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION (ksf)

PLASTICITY INDEX (NP=NON-PLASTIC)

LL LIQUID LIMIT (NP=NON-PLASTIC)

TV TORVANE SHEAR (tsf)

PI

X $\overline{\mathbb{S}}$ G П

Geotechnical Engineering

Soil Classification Log Key

(with deviations from ASTM D2488)

EXAMPLE: Soil Containing 60% Gravel, 25% Sand, 15% Fines. Described as: **SILTY GRAVEL** with some sand

RELATIVE DENSITY / CONSISTENCY

MOISTURE CONTENT DEFINITIONS

- Dry: Absence of moisture, dry to the touch
- Moist: Damp but no visible water
- Wet: Visible free water

ABBREVIATIONS

WOH: Weight of Hammer

WOR: Weight of Drill Rods

SPT: Standard Penetration Test Split-Spoon Sampler

MCS: Modified California Sampler

PP: Pocket Penetrometer

GRAIN SIZE DEFINITION

Plate

**Soil descriptions are based on ASTM D2488-09a, Visual-Manual Procedure, with the above modifications by Geolabs, Inc. to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).* A-0.2

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

1

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

1

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

2

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

3

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

4

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

5

Geotechnical Engineering

BORING_LOG 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/21

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

6

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

7

Geotechnical Engineering

BORING_LOG 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/21

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

8

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

9

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

10

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

11

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

12

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

13

Geotechnical Engineering

BORING_LOG 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/21

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

14

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

15

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

16

Geotechnical Engineering

BORING_LOG 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/21

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

17

Geotechnical Engineering

BORING_LOG 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/21
WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

18

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

19

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

20

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

Log of Boring

21

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

21

APPENDIX B

A P P E N D I X B

Laboratory Tests

Moisture Content (ASTM D2216) and Unit Weight (ASTM D2937) determinations were performed on selected samples as an aid in the classification and evaluation of soil properties. The test results are presented on the Logs of Borings at the appropriate sample depths.

Twenty-One Atterberg Limits tests (ASTM D4318) were performed on selected soil samples to evaluate the liquid and plastic limits. The test results are summarized on the Logs of Borings at the appropriate sample depths. Graphic presentations of the test results are provided on Plates B-1 through B-3.

Five Sieve Analysis tests (ASTM C117 & C136) were performed on selected soil samples to evaluate the gradation characteristics of the soils and to aid in soil classification. Graphic presentation of the grain size distributions is provided on Plate B-4.

To evaluate the unconfined compressive strength of the on-site clayey soils, five unconfined compression tests were performed on selected in-situ samples in accordance with ASTM D2166. Individual stress-strain curves of the unconfined compression tests are presented on Plates B-5 through B-9.

Five Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Compression tests (ASTM D2850) were performed on selected in-situ soil samples to evaluate the undrained shear strengths of the on-site clayey soils. The approximate in-situ effective overburden pressures were used as the applied confining pressures for both the relatively "undisturbed" soil samples and the remolded soil samples. The test results and the stress-strain curves are presented on Plates B-10 through B-14.

Four Direct Shear tests (ASTM D3080) were performed on selected samples to evaluate the shear strength characteristics of the material tested. The test results are presented on Plates B-15 through B-18.

To evaluate the long-term shear strengths of the clayey soils, two Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression tests were performed on selected relatively undisturbed soil samples in accordance with ASTM D4767. The test results and stress-strain curves are presented on Plates B-19 and B-20.

To evaluate the permeability of the in-situ soils, two Hydraulic Conductivity of Saturated Porous Materials by Flexible Wall Permeameter tests (ASTM D5084) were performed on relatively undisturbed samples of the on-site materials anticipated below the new line reservoir. The test results are presented on Plate B-21.

Four sets of Corrosion tests, including pH (ASTM G51), Minimum Resistivity (ASTM G57), Chloride Content (EPA 300.0), and Sulfate Content (EPA 300.0), were performed by our office and TestAmerica laboratories, Inc. on selected soil samples obtained from our field exploration. The test results are summarized on Plate B-22.

Two Modified Proctor compaction tests (ASTM D1557 A) were performed on bulk samples of the near-surface soils to evaluate the dry density and moisture content relationships. The test results are presented on Plates B-23 and B-24.

Four laboratory California Bearing Ratio tests (ASTM D1883) were performed on bulk samples of the near-surface soils to evaluate the support characteristics of the soils. The test results are presented on Plates B-25 through B-28.

8094-00&20.GPJ ATTERBERG PI-100 LL-120

G_UC 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/218094-00&20.GPJ \leq

G_UC 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/21GEOLABS.GD 8094-00&20.GPJ \leq

G_UC 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/218094-00&20.GPJ

GDT ABS GEOL TXUU 8094-00&20.GPJ

ā **GEOL** TXUU 8094-00&20.GPJ

G_TXUU 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/21**GEOL** TXUU 8094-00&20.GP-

CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL TEST by ASTM D4767

CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL TEST by ASTM D4767

TEST METHODS (by TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.)
pH Value Method 9045C Method 9045C pH Value ASTM G51
Minimum Resistivity SM 2510B Minimum Resistivity ASTM G57 **TEST METHODS (by TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.)**
DH Value Method 9045C METHODS (by Geolabs, Inc.)*
DH Value ASTM G51

Minimum Resistivity SM 2510B Minimum Resistivity ASTM G57 TEST METHODS (by TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.)

pH Value Method 9045C

Minimum Resistivity SM 2510B

Chloride Content EPA 300.0

Sulfate Content EPA 300.0 **TEST METHODS (by TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.)**

pH Value Method 9045C pH Value ASTM G51

Minimum Resistivity SM 2510B Minimum Resistivity EPA 300.0 Chloride Content EPA 300.0

Sulfate Content EPA 300.0 Chloride Content

EPA 300.0

ND: Not Detected Within Reporting Limits

pH Value TEST METHODS (by Geolabs, Inc.)*

pH Value ASTM G51

Minimum Resistivity ASTM G57

Chloride Content N/A

Sulfate Content N/A

SUMMARY OF CORROSIVITY TESTS

WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT KUNIA, OAHU, HAWAII

B - 22 Plate

COMPACTION 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT

COMPACTION 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT

G_CBR 8094-00&20.GPJ GEOLABS.GDT 1/26/21**GEOL** CBR 8094-00&20.GPJ

CBR 8094-00&20.GPJ

CHC

APPENDIX C

ं**:** eurofins

Environment Testing America

[1](#page-109-0)

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

[9](#page-117-0)

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Sacramento 880 Riverside Parkway West Sacramento, CA 95605 Tel: (916)373-5600

Laboratory Job ID: 320-61789-3

Laboratory Sample Delivery Group: 8094-00 Client Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV.

For:

............... LINKS

Review your project results through

Total Access

Have a Question?

www.eurofinsus.com/Env

Visit us at:

Ask-The Expert GeoLabs Inc 94-429 Koaki Street Suite 200 Waipahu, Hawaii 96797

Attn: Steven Asato

Micole Mccale

Authorized for release by: 6/24/2020 2:25:35 PM

Nicole McCabe, Project Manager I (916)374-4344 [nicole.mccabe@testamericain](mailto:nicole.mccabe@testamericainc.com)c.com

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC, 2009 TNI, and 2016 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Table of Contents

Definitions/Glossary

Client: GeoLabs Inc Job ID: 320-61789-3 Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV. **SDG: 8094-00** SDG: 8094-00

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

Job ID: 320-61789-3

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Sacramento

Narrative

Job Narrative 320-61789-3

Comments

No additional comments.

Receipt

The samples were received on 6/15/2020 9:10 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 3.7º C.

HPLC/IC

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

General Chemistry

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Detection Summary

Client: GeoLabs Inc Job ID: 320-61789-3 Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV. **SDG: 8094-00** SDG: 8094-00

[8](#page-116-0) [9](#page-117-0)

[5](#page-113-0)

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

[5](#page-113-0)

[6](#page-114-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

QC Sample Results

Client: GeoLabs Inc Job ID: 320-61789-3 Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV. **SDG: 8094-00** SDG: 8094-00

[5](#page-113-0)

[7](#page-115-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

[9](#page-117-0)

Method: 300.0 - Anions, Ion Chromatography

QC Association Summary

Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV. **SDG: 8094-00** SDG: 8094-00

HPLC/IC

Analysis Batch: 612888

Leach Batch: 612967

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

Soluble Analysis 300.0 1 612888 06/17/20 03:13 NTN TAL IRV

Laboratory References:

TAL IRV = Eurofins Calscience Irvine, 17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614-5817, TEL (949)261-1022

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

[9](#page-117-0)

Lab Chronicle

Client: GeoLabs Inc Job ID: 320-61789-3 Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV.

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV. **SDG: 8094-00** SDG: 8094-00

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Sacramento

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Laboratory: Eurofins Calscience Irvine

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Client: GeoLabs Inc Job ID: 320-61789-3

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV. **SDG: 8094-00** SDG: 8094-00

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Honolulu

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Client: GeoLabs Inc Job ID: 320-61789-3

Method Summary

Client: GeoLabs Inc Job ID: 320-61789-3 Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV. **SDG: 8094-00** SDG: 8094-00

Protocol References:

ASTM = ASTM International

MCAWW = "Methods For Chemical Analysis Of Water And Wastes", EPA-600/4-79-020, March 1983 And Subsequent Revisions.

Laboratory References:

TAL IRV = Eurofins Calscience Irvine, 17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614-5817, TEL (949)261-1022

Sample Summary

Client: GeoLabs Inc **Client:** GeoLabs Inc **Job ID: 320-61789-3** Project/Site: WAIAHOLE WATER SYSTEM IMPROV. **SDG: 8094-00** SDG: 8094-00

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

[9](#page-117-0)

17461 Derian Ave Suite 100
Irvine CA 92614-5617

Chain of Custody Record

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\text{eucofin}\,\sup_{\{x\in\mathcal{X}^n\}\cap\{x\in\mathcal{X}^n\}}\,\mathbb{C}^{\text{sup}}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\pmb{\xi}$

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

[9](#page-117-0)

Chain of Custody Record

 $\begin{split} &\text{and} &\text{for all } \alpha \in \{0,1\} \times \{0\} \times$ the eurofins

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

17461 Denan Ave Suite 100
Irvine, CA 92614-5817

Chain of Custody Record

计数字 医阿尔伯氏 the eurofins

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

[9](#page-117-0)

Eurofins TestAmerica, Irvine
17461 Deran Ave Sute 100
Irvne, CA 92614-5817

Chain of Custody Record

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{M}} & \textrm{for } \alpha \neq \epsilon, \forall A \ \overline{A} \psi \prec \mathrm{H} \cup \varphi \\ \underline{\mathbb{E}}(\epsilon \gamma L) \alpha \ \xi \sqcup \mathrm{H} \beta \ \overline{a} \end{array}$ 2 eurofins

[5](#page-113-0)

[8](#page-116-0)

[9](#page-117-0)

Login Number: 61789 Creator: Kovalyov, Nikita List Number: 1

Residual Chlorine Checked. N/A

Client: GeoLabs Inc Job Number: 320-61789-3 SDG Number: 8094-00

List Source: Eurofins TestAmerica, Sacramento